

## 2011 Olympiad Solutions

**Problem 1** Let  $A_0, A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n$  be nonnegative numbers such that

$$A_0 \le A_1 \le A_2 \le \dots \le A_n.$$

Prove that

$$\left|\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} \right| \le \frac{A_{n}}{2}.$$

(Note: |x| means the greatest integer that is less than or equal to x.)

**Solution:** We will first handle the case when n is even. We can write our main expression as an alternating sum:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$
  
=  $(A_0 + A_2 + A_4 + \dots + A_n) - \frac{1}{2} (A_0 + A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 + \dots + A_n).$ 

We then get the following upper bound:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 + \dots + A_n)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} [(A_0 - A_1) + (A_2 - A_3) + \dots + (A_{n-2} - A_{n-1}) + A_n]$   
 $\leq \frac{1}{2} [0 + 0 + \dots + 0 + A_n]$   
=  $\frac{A_n}{2}$ .

We get a lower bound too:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 + \dots + A_n)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} \Big[ A_0 + (-A_1 + A_2) + (-A_3 + A_4) + \dots + (-A_{n-1} + A_n) \Big]$   
 $\geq \frac{1}{2} \Big[ A_0 + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 \Big]$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} A_0.$ 

Our main expression is between  $\frac{A_0}{2}$  and  $\frac{A_n}{2}$ . Hence its absolute value is at most  $\frac{A_n}{2}$ . We have settled the case when n is even.

Now we will handle the case when n is odd. We can again write our main expression as an alternating sum:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$
  
=  $(A_0 + A_2 + A_4 + \dots + A_{n-1}) - \frac{1}{2} (A_0 + A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_n)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 + \dots + A_{n-1} - A_n).$ 

We get the following upper bound:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 + \dots + A_{n-1} - A_n)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} [(A_0 - A_1) + (A_2 - A_3) + \dots + (A_{n-1} - A_n)]$   
 $\leq \frac{1}{2} [0 + 0 + \dots + 0]$   
= 0.

We also get a lower bound:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} A_{2i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 + \dots + A_{n-1} - A_n)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} \Big[ A_0 + (-A_1 + A_2) + \dots + (-A_{n-2} + A_{n-1}) - A_n \Big]$   
 $\geq \frac{1}{2} \Big[ A_0 + 0 + 0 + \dots + 0 - A_n \Big]$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} (A_0 - A_n)$   
 $\geq -\frac{1}{2} A_n.$ 

Our main expression is between  $-\frac{A_n}{2}$  and 0. Hence its absolute value is at most  $\frac{A_n}{2}$ . We have settled the case when n is odd too.

**Problem 2** Let  $\triangle ABC$  be an equilateral triangle. If 0 < r < 1, let  $D_r$  be the point on  $\overline{AB}$  such that  $AD_r = r \cdot AB$ , let  $E_r$  be the point on  $\overline{BC}$  such that  $BE_r = r \cdot BC$ , and let  $P_r$  be the point where  $\overline{AE_r}$  and  $\overline{CD_r}$  intersect. Prove that the set of points  $P_r$  (over all 0 < r < 1) lie on a circle.

**Solution:** Because  $\triangle ABC$  is equilateral, AB = CA. Because  $\triangle ABC$  is equiangular,  $\angle ABE_r$  and  $\angle CAD_r$  are both equal to 60°. By the definitions of  $D_r$  and  $E_r$ , we have

$$BE_r = r \cdot BC = r \cdot AB = AD_r.$$

Hence, by Side-Angle-Side congruence,  $\triangle ABE_r$  and  $\triangle CAD_r$  are congruent.



Because  $\triangle ABE_r$  is congruent to  $\triangle CAD_r$ , we have  $\angle BAE_r = \angle ACD_r$ . In other words, using the angle variables in the figure, x = z. Because  $\angle A$  is 60°, we have  $x + y = 60^{\circ}$ . Hence  $y + z = 60^{\circ}$ . Because the angles of  $\triangle AP_rC$  add up to 180°, we have

$$\angle AP_rC = 180^\circ - (y+z) = 180^\circ - 60^\circ = 120^\circ.$$

Consider two points  $P_r$  and  $P_s$ . By the previous paragraph

$$\angle AP_rC = 120^\circ = \angle AP_sC.$$

Hence, because  $P_r$  and  $P_s$  are on the same side of line AC, the points A,  $P_r$ ,  $P_s$ , and C lie on a circle. To be specific, consider the circle that passes through A,  $P_{1/2}$ , and C. Then every point  $P_r$  lies on this circle.

Note: Ken Fan proposed the original version of this problem. Ken's version asked about the locus of  $P_r$  when  $\triangle ABC$  was not assumed equilateral.

**Problem 3** Let n be a positive integer such that n + 1 is divisible by 24. Prove that the sum of all the positive divisors of n is divisible by 24.

**Solution:** Because n + 1 is divisible by 24, it is divisible by 8 (and hence 4) and by 3. So n is 3 mod 4 and 2 mod 3. Every integer squared is either 0 or 1 mod 4, so n is not a perfect square.

Let a and b be divisors of n such that ab = n. We claim that (a+1)(b+1)is divisible by 24. To prove the claim, we will show that (a + 1)(b + 1) is divisible by 3 and by 8. First, because  $ab = n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , either a or b is 2 mod 3. So either a + 1 or b + 1 is divisible by 3, which means that (a + 1)(b + 1) is divisible by 3. Second, because  $ab = n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , we know that a and b are 1 and 3 mod 4 (in some order). So a + 1 and b + 1 are 2 and 0 mod 4 (in some order), which means that (a + 1)(b + 1) is divisible by 8. Summarizing, we have shown that (a + 1)(b + 1) is divisible by 24.

Now we show that if a and b are divisors of n such that ab = n, then a+b is divisible by 24. We have

$$(a+1)(b+1) = ab + a + b + 1 = n + a + b + 1 = (n+1) + (a+b).$$

The previous paragraph showed that (a + 1)(b + 1) is divisible by 24, and by hypothesis n + 1 is divisible by 24. So a + b is divisible by 24 too.

Now consider all the positive divisors of n. Because n is not a perfect square, these divisors can be partitioned into pairs  $\{a, b\}$  such that ab = n.

But the previous paragraph showed that a + b is divisible by 24. Since the sum of each pair is divisible by 24, the sum of all the positive divisors is divisible by 24 too.

**Problem 4** Let M be a matrix with r rows and c columns. Each entry of M is a nonnegative integer. Let a be the average of all rc entries of M. If  $r > (10a + 10)^c$ , prove that M has two identical rows.

**Solution:** Define the *weight* of an entry x of the matrix to be  $p^x$ , where  $p = \frac{a}{a+1}$ . The *weight* of a row of the matrix is the product of the weights of the entries in that row. The *weight* of the matrix is the sum of the weights of its rows.

Assume that M has no two identical rows. We will show that  $r \leq (10a + 10)^c$ . To do so, we will analyze the weight of the matrix. First, we will bound the weight from above. We claim that the weight of the matrix is at most

$$\left(1+p+p^2+\dots\right)^c.$$

Imagine expanding this expression. We would get the weight of every possible row. Because no row of M repeats, the weight of M is at most this expression. By geometric series, this expression evaluates to

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)^c = \left(\frac{1}{1-\frac{a}{a+1}}\right)^c = (a+1)^c.$$

So the weight of the matrix is at most  $(a+1)^c$ .

We will now develop a lower bound on the weight of the matrix. Let  $S_i$  be the sum of the entries of row *i*. The weight of row *i* is  $p^{S_i}$ . So the weight of the matrix is

$$\sum_{i=1}' p^{S_i}$$

By the AM-GM inequality, this weight is at least

$$r\left(\prod_{i=1}^r p^{S_i}\right)^{1/r} = r\left(p^{\sum_{i=1}^r S_i}\right)^{1/r}.$$

The sum of the row sums  $S_i$  is the sum of the entries in the whole matrix, which is *arc*. So the weight of the matrix is at least

$$r\left(p^{arc}\right)^{1/r} = rp^{ac}.$$

Comparing the lower and upper bounds on the weight of the matrix, we get the inequality

$$rp^{ac} \le (a+1)^c.$$

Solving for r, we find

$$r \le \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{ac} (a+1)^c = \left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{ac} (a+1)^c = \left(\frac{(a+1)^{a+1}}{a^a}\right)^c.$$

Now all we have to do is show that

$$\frac{(a+1)^{a+1}}{a^a} \le 10a + 10.$$

It is well-known that  $(1 + \frac{1}{a})^a$  is an increasing function of a that converges to e, the base of the natural logarithm. In particular, we have

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{a}\right)^a < e.$$

That's equivalent to

$$\frac{(a+1)^a}{a^a} < e.$$

Multiplying by a + 1 gives

$$\frac{(a+1)^{a+1}}{a^a} < e(a+1).$$

Because e < 10, we have

$$\frac{(a+1)^{a+1}}{a^a} < 10(a+1) = 10a+10.$$

That's all we had left to show.